

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,554	KASHIWAGI ET AL.
	Examiner Bob A. Phunkulh	Art Unit 2616

**All Participants:**

(1) Bob A. Phunkulh.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) John R. Mattingly.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*As set forth in the Examiner's Amendment*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)